

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/444,819	11/22/1999	SHUICHI KIKUCHI	10417-006001	9133	
75	90 04/30/2002				
JOHN B PEGRAM ESQ			EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHA 45 ROCKEFEL			RAO, SHRINIVAS H		
NEW YORK, N	EW YORK, NY 10111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814		
			DATE MAILED: 04/30/2002	DATE MAILED: 04/30/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	09/444,819	KIKUCHI ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven H. Rao	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 23 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	old abandonment of this application and all the control of the control of the control of this application at the control of the control of this appeal fee); or (3) a timel of this appeal fee); or (3) a timel	h places the applica	ation in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this is no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main	g date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appoint of the fee. The apporting originally set in the final	ropriate extension oropriate extension I Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal c	eriod set forth in of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:		inally rejected claim	ns.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	i amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons 	idered but does NC	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b rould be rejected is provided belo	y) will be entered ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,8-10,17 and 19</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Exam	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other: See Continuation Sheet			

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicants' arguments that Bulucea's element 136 is not a drift region is not persuasive because Drift region is defined as a region wherein the electrons/holes move in random motion due to the applied electric field and applying the broadest reasonable interpretation, element 136 in fig.13 of Belucea which illustrates a FET, is a drift region. Even the applicants' in thier specification page 8, lines 5-7, etc. describe their region 22 as a drift region which is identical to Belucea's region 136. Further Bulucea in fig. 6 describes the drift region extending to and below the drain region and is formed shallowly below the gate electrode and deeply in the neighborhood of the drain region. Since region 136 /64 are drift regions they both are the same conductivity as drain regions 135/63. With respect to claims 3-4,10,17 and 19 it is noted that applicants' are arguing a method step in a device claim and the method step cannot be given paten table weight. Further these claims are rejected over Bulucea and Blanchard and Blanchard in col. 4 lines 61 -66 teaches global implants by arsenic and boron. Bulucea in figs. 10a etc. and col. 6 lines line 50 describes a BICMOS and it is well known that bICMOS have two gate electrodes.

Jerome Jafason, Jr.